

# The Patriots' Truth

## Flint Hills TEA Party News

---

See us at McALISTER'S DELI, EVERY WED after 5:30pm ---- *Everyone is welcome, we ARE the Working Group. WELCOME! Monthly meetings, 2<sup>nd</sup> Saturday of each month. Always interesting & informative, join us!! Next meeting: May 11 – 9:30AM, Manhattan City Library Auditorium. Come to our meetings, we often have interesting speakers talk/visit/with us. Come listen & ask questions, express your concerns & views, help US help each other. We MUST continue to support our Communities and Schools!! Regardless of what happens in DC we MUST keep God in our Schools and Communities and most of all in our Hearts!! Pray the State Legislature has God in their hearts as they wind up this session. They are now on break until May 8 for the Veto Session. Much is still to be accomplished at the Capital – much is in the Governor's hands -- may God guide them all!!*

---

### Britons and their Queen honor Margaret Thatcher at funeral; Obama not so much

By [Andrew Malcolm](#)

Posted 09:04 AM ET

In one of those ceremonial pageants the Brits invented, former Prime Minister and Baroness Margaret Hilda Thatcher's funeral unfolded this morning on the old streets of London to the sounds of the classical music she loved and the Bible verses at a service in Sir Christopher Wren's St. Paul's Cathedral.

So great is the global appreciation and admiration for the Iron Lady who led Great Britain from 1979-90, that some 2,000 dignitaries attended, including in an historic breach of protocol Queen Elizabeth and Prince Philip.

This was the first funeral of a former prime minister the monarch has attended in nearly a half-century, since Sir Winston Churchill's 1965 state ceremonies. This despite unconfirmed reports of persistent tensions between the two women.

Alas, in a sad slap at its closest ally in Europe, the American president chose to send minimal representation to the funeral and no one ranking from his administration.

Thatcher died of a massive stroke April 8 at the age of 87. Her body will be cremated later today. The death certificate listed Thatcher's occupation as "Stateswoman (retired)."

Thatcher lead Britain's economy back into the free market and prosperity and was a staunch American ally, especially during both terms of fellow conservative President Reagan. Together, they helped bring about the collapse of the Soviet Union, the Berlin Wall and the resurgence of their separate economies.

When President Reagan died in 2004, after a decade's decline from Alzheimer's, the then-retired Thatcher traveled to Washington to pay her respects to the president she called Ronnie as he lay in state at the U.S. Capitol. She then attended the funeral service at the National Cathedral and even accompanied Reagan's body to California, where he was entombed at the Reagan Presidential Library in Simi Valley.

The military were everywhere in London today--guarding the flag-draped casket, escorting the casket, carrying the casket, saluting the casket--featuring soldiers, sailors and airmen primarily from units involved in the Falklands War Thatcher successfully lead in 1982 to regain that British territory from Argentina.

Three military bands standing along the funeral route played martial music at subdued volume to match the somber occasion. Six black horses, their manes shorn, pulled the casket on a World War I gun carriage. The procession band, their drums draped in black, played the funeral marches of Chopin, Mendelssohn and Beethoven.

A ceremonial minute gun fired salutes from the Tower of London throughout the 20-minute procession. All government flags at home and abroad were flown at half-mast for 12 hours. There were no early signs of the threatened protests.

Prime Minister David Cameron read from the King James Bible her favorite verse John 14.1 beginning: "Let not your heart be troubled."

The sonorous chimes of London's iconic Big Ben clock were silenced throughout the procession and service.

There was, however, no traditional RAF flyover. The conservative Thatcher left word she would find that a waste of precious pounds.

Among the many dignitaries attending today's service were former prime ministers John Major, Gordon Brown and Tony Blair along with Canadian leaders Stephen Harper and Brian Mulroney and several Middle Eastern leaders.

Cultural icons such as Michael Caine, David Frost, Richard Attenborough, Shirley Bassey, Michael Crawford and Andrew Lloyd-Webber also attended, as did 10 staff members from the Ritz Hotel as a symbol of appreciation for their care during Thatcher's last weeks.

Henry Kissinger, Newt Gingrich and Dick Cheney attended as private U.S. citizens.

President Obama has created his own reputation as anti-British through serial snubs of its leaders and gifts. Whether there's a connection between his obvious feelings and Britain's colonial rule of Kenya, the homeland of Obama's absentee father, is really irrelevant. It's shown Obama as a petty, parochial pol, hardly presidential.

The Democrat is often photographed with his feet atop the presidential desk, a gift from Britain decades ago made from the timbers of a British man-of-war.

You may recall on his first day in the Oval Office, Obama ordered the gift bust of Winston Churchill removed and returned to Britain. He famously gave Brown a gift of American movie DVD's, which won't play on British machines. He's declined to appear jointly with some Brits and generously gave the queen an iPod packed with recordings of his own speeches.

But Obama trumped himself this week by declining to send any representative of his administration to the funeral of free-market advocate Thatcher. The Chicago Democrat appointed instead two former secretaries of State in Republican administrations--James Baker and George Schultz--and a staffer from the embassy in London.

As our [editorial page colleagues here pointed out](#), that's a lower-level delegation to our closest European ally than the group Obama dispatched to last month's funeral of anti-American demagogue Hugo Chavez of Venezuela. Hmm.

Clearly, Obama has no time for such respectful things himself. He's set a strategy dinner tonight with Democrat senators.

Normally, for a funeral of someone with Thatcher's historical and diplomatic stature, an American administration would send at least a vice president, even a dufus like Joe Biden.

Of course, Joe might let go with one of his Pakistani jokes. And anyway the vice president's schedule today is heavily-burdened. He's scheduled to participate in an online Google+ Hangout.

**This US administration's treatment of the free world is embarrassing. How will we make this up to the world when we regain the true America? It makes me want to cry, scream, and pray all at once. Jesus said, "Forgive them for they know not what they do." Only in instances such as this – they DO KNOW what they are doing. I pray the free world understands that these actions are **NOT** the AMERICAN PEOPLE.**

---

## **More than a Vacation a Month for Obamas**

Posted on March 26, 2013 by CONSERVATIVEbyte.com

And the sad thing is that this probably doesn't count his golf or other outings. Check it out:

*In the first three months of the year, members of the first family have been on three vacations, averaging a vacation a month. And now it's being reported that the first daughters are on a spring break vacation in the Bahamas.*

*The Obamas began the new year in Hawaii. "President Obama departed Hawaii this morning for Washington, after spending NINE days vacationing with family and friends in his native state. Here's a quick look at how he spent his vacation," ABC reported on January 6, 2013.*

*"Obama played FIVE rounds of golf with SEVEN different partners, spending roughly THIRTY hours on TWO different courses on Oahu. The president made FIVE early morning trips to the gym at the nearby Marine*

*Base at Kaneohe Bay. The First Family spent TWO afternoons enjoying the beach on the base and went for ONE hike to a local waterfall. The president spent ONE father-daughter afternoon with Malia and Sasha, bowling and going out for shave ice, an annual tradition."*

Then the first lady and their daughters vacationed in Aspen over President's Day weekend. "First Lady Michelle Obama arrived in Aspen on Friday afternoon and is here with her daughters for a ski vacation," [Aspendailynews.com](#) reported in February. "Few details about her trip were available. Sources said she is staying at the home of Jim and Paula Crown, owners of the Aspen Skiing Co. She is reportedly skiing at Buttermilk today, where the Crowns, of Chicago, own a home on the Tiehack side."

"Every year (taxpayers in England) have to spend \$57.8 million for the first family of Great Britain to make sure that everything's maintained and everything is taken care of and their security and everything else, \$57.8 million. And the people in England are upset about it," Glenn explained this morning. "How much did we pay our royal family? How much did it take to maintain our royal family, the Obamas, just last year? Now, this is really interesting, especially as we come up for another vacation for the Obamas. This one is going to cost us \$4 million. This vacation in Hawaii for Christmas is going to cost you \$4 million. How much did we spend last year? Remember, the royal family cost \$57.8 million. Would you be stunned if I told you it was \$50 million? Would you be -- would you be bowled over if it was \$100 million? How much do we spend? The total last year, a little higher than \$57.8 million."

So what was it? \$60 million? No. \$100 million? Nope. \$250 million? Not even close. The answer: [\\$1.4 billion](#). "Look, I have no problem, no problem with the president of the United States taking a vacation. I've never had a problem with it. I've never had a problem with the president going on vacation when it was Bill Clinton, when it was George W. Bush or even Obama. There is an extraordinary number of vacation and golf days in this man's schedule and an extraordinary number of campaign days in this man's schedule, but I have no problem with him taking a vacation. But a \$4 million vacation is a little over the top. And that's just this vacation," Glenn said.

"Again, perspective. As we were sitting in the bus and we heard about a \$4 million, I said to Pat, I wonder how much they spend on the royal family. How much do they spend on the royal family compared to how much we spend on the Obama vacations and the Obama houses? And we got that number and it came from a book that is now, you know, the rage over in England. Of course we're not saying anything about it here. It's now the rage over in England. It's more than 20 times."

### **Taxpayers spent \$1.4 billion on Obama family last year, perks questioned in new book**

Taxpayers spent \$1.4 billion dollars on everything from staffing, housing, flying and entertaining President Obama and his family last year, according to the author of a new book on taxpayer-funded presidential perks.

In comparison, British taxpayers spent just \$57.8 million on the royal family.

Author Robert Keith Gray writes in "[Presidential Perks Gone Royal](#)" that Obama isn't the only president to have taken advantage of the expensive trappings of his office. But the amount of money spent on the first family, he argues, has risen tremendously under the [Obama administration](#) and needs to be reined in.

Read more: <http://dailycaller.com/2012/09/26/taxpayers-spent-1-4-billion-on-obama-family-last-year-perks-questioned-in-new-book/#ixzz2QcLaUmp7>

---

## **Liberal Journalist Hopes Boston Bomber is White**

posted by LAST RESISTANCE on April 18, 2013 by [Frank Camp](#)

Ann Coulter said: "Liberals become indignant when you question their patriotism, but simultaneously work overtime to give terrorists a cushion for the next attack and laugh at dumb Americans who love their country and hate the enemy." The Liberals in this country are inscrutable. They try at every turn to give the massive terrorist network (Al-Qaeda) the benefit of some doubt. As if there is any doubt. They try shamelessly to link attacks, like the Oklahoma City bombing, to a wide network of "right-wing extremists," because they feel some peculiar need to show that profiling is racist; that white people are equal terrorists; and that our enemies are not so bad after all.

Following the Boston marathon bombing, the Left has run wild with speculation that the attack could have been made by "right-wing extremists;" going as far as likening those on the Right with middle-eastern terrorists. *CNN* was first to jump on the bandwagon, but *MSNBC* wasn't far behind. Now, *Salon* is throwing its hat into the speculative ring with this ditty.

In a piece called Let's Hope The Boston Marathon Bomber is a White American, *Salon* columnist David Sirota writes that privilege protects white people from being uniformly slandered and beat upon when an atrocity is committed by someone of Caucasian decent. He goes on to say that lack of privilege on the part of minorities does not give them such protection. But here's where Sirota gets really kooky:

"Likewise, in the context of terrorist attacks, such privilege means white non-Islamic terrorists are typically

portrayed not as representative of whole groups or ideologies, but as “lone wolf” threats to be dealt with as isolated law enforcement matters. Meanwhile, non-white or developing-world terrorism suspects are often reflexively portrayed as representative of larger conspiracies, ideologies and religions that must be dealt with as systemic threats — the kind potentially requiring everything from law enforcement action to military operations to civil liberties legislation to foreign policy shifts.”

In a piece called Let’s Hope The Boston Marathon Bomber is a White American, Salon columnist David Sirota writes that privilege protects white people from being uniformly slandered and beat upon when an atrocity is committed by someone of Caucasian decent. He goes on to say that lack of privilege on the part of minorities does not give them such protection. But here’s where Sirota gets really kooky:

“Likewise, in the context of terrorist attacks, such privilege means white non-Islamic terrorists are typically portrayed not as representative of whole groups or ideologies, but as “lone wolf” threats to be dealt with as isolated law enforcement matters. Meanwhile, non-white or developing-world terrorism suspects are often reflexively portrayed as representative of larger conspiracies, ideologies and religions that must be dealt with as systemic threats — the kind potentially requiring everything from law enforcement action to military operations to civil liberties legislation to foreign policy shifts.”

White Americans are called racists and that they are racially against anyone who does NOT have white skin. Then “they” say they HOPE the Boston Bomber is WHITE. Now tell me how a “hope” like that is NOT racial? I was always taught that it is what is in a persons “heart” and how they live their life that counts – it is individual, not the color of the skin!!!

On another subject -- At the end of this article was this: **No Budget, No Pay – If Congress can’t do it’s job, Reps shouldn’t get paid.** That’s a great thought, but we all know it IS NOT going to go down that way!! However, we do need to THANK the SENATE for NOT PASSING the gun bill and for NOT SELLING US OUT TO THE UN!! Both were close and a very pleasant surprise!! We MUST THANK and acknowledge them when they DO GOOD as well as notify them of our displeasure when they fail us. You know the deal – like we do our children – praise and reprimand!!

---

### The following was received from ACLJ:

As America recoils from the horror of the trial of abortionist Kermit Gosnell, let's not forget a crucial fact: Planned Parenthood has argued in the Florida legislature for the “right” to do what Gosnell did -- kill a child after it's born, if the mother and doctor agree.

Astounding.  
Even more astounding is the fact that American taxpayers subsidize Planned Parenthood, providing roughly half its budget.

At the ACLJ, we are fighting Planned Parenthood on multiple fronts - filing briefs supporting states that defund Planned Parenthood, directly suing Planned Parenthood for fraud, and working tirelessly in Washington and elsewhere to persuade legislators to cut off all taxpayer funding.

We need your help. Let Congress and the President know that you don't want a single taxpayer dollar to go to an organization that aborts hundreds of thousands of unborn children and fights for the right to abort even after a baby is born.

Jay Sekulow  
ACLJ Chief Counsel

In an English dictionary **abort means “terminate” which means “murder somebody”**. (I just want to be very sure you understand what *abort* means.) **Personally, I think my tax dollars can be better used than to “murder a baby or anyone else”**. My/your tax dollars are used for many things I do not approve of, but to pay for an unborn baby to be murdered is horrifying to me. We **MUST** find a way to stop this. Wouldn't paying for and encouraging **BIRTH CONTROL be better??!! Contact Congresspersons to let them know your feelings! In the USA an unborn baby is MURDERED every 90/ninety seconds (1 1/2 minutes) just because it's parents do NOT WANT it.** It is very scary to me that we are teaching our young people that MURDER is approved of when it is the most convenient way to get rid of a problem. Could this be another reason for all the other murders and disrespect for life of each other regardless of age? Age is only a number – if it is OK to murder an unborn child what’s wrong with murdering whoever gets in the way! That is the lesson we are teaching our children – and we wonder why GOD isn’t listening to us.

---

**HYPOCRISY --- Blaming every law abiding gun owner for deaths of children, while supporting abortion!! That is the way**

it is with “them” – someone else is always to blame. Don’t you want to blame BUSH!! Isn’t HE to blame for everything??

---

## The following was taken from Congresswoman Lynn Jenkins Newsletter of 4/21/13 A Bipartisan Plan for Cyber security

The U.S. House of Representatives voted to pass [H.R. 624, the “Cyber Intelligence Sharing and Protection Act of 2013” \(CISPA\)](#) on Thursday by a vote of 288-127. I supported this bill in order to allow the federal government to share information with the private sector on malware and cyber threats, so they can update their security settings. The United States has cyber security capabilities they cannot use because of issues related to info-sharing, and this bill would remedy this flaw.

Foreign countries such as China and Iran are already conducting massive cyber attacks and espionage campaigns against the United States. CISPA is an important step towards protecting the U.S. economy and strengthening our nation’s ability to defend American networks and data, all while protecting Americans’ privacy and civil liberties. The goal of this bill is to protect privacy and security equally.

While these attacks pose a grave national security threat, they also cost American jobs in terms of stolen trade secrets, and are a current threat to privacy through stolen consumer data. [The privacy protections added to the bill](#) included important oversight mechanisms to ensure the bill’s authorities are always used properly. This legislation also protects the use of cyber threat information by limiting the use by both the government and the private sector for cyber security purposes only. This bill does not include an exception for the government to use information for ‘national security’ purposes.

For more information on my support for this bill, please [read my opted with Rep. Scott Peters \(D-CA\) in The Hill](#).

## The Kelsey Smith Act

The Kelsey Smith Act was introduced for the 113th Congress this week. This bill is a commonsense piece of legislation that is very important to protecting the lives of innocent people. It would require wireless communication providers to release call location information to law-enforcement agencies only in emergency situations that involve the risk of death or serious physical harm.

On June 2, 2007, 18-year-old Kelsey Smith was abducted in broad daylight from a local department store and murdered. Four days after she disappeared, authorities were able to locate Kelsey’s body because her wireless provider released the “ping” or “call location” information from her cell phone. Currently, federal law allows telecom providers to release “call location” information, but does not require them to do so. Providers, however, are cautious to give this information to authorities due to liability concerns.

This law was already passed in Kansas, and a number of other states, but it is my hope that Congress takes up this legislation so that it would apply to every state. When the lives of our children are at stake, we must ensure law enforcement has all the tools available at their disposal. Companies withholding critical location information from the authorities for fear of legal liability is simply not acceptable, and this bill will correct a serious flaw in the system. The technology already exists, and therefore, it would not cost a dime.

I was honored to work alongside Kelsey’s parents, Greg and Missey Smith, in introducing the Kelsey Smith Act in the 112th Congress. I commend their hard work, and am proud to help carry the effort forward as an original cosponsor this year. I decided it was more fitting for their representative, Congressman Yoder, to introduce the legislation for the 113th Congress, while Sens. Pat Roberts and Jerry Moran introduce compatible legislation in the Senate. [Read more about the legislation in The Washington Post](#).

---

## INVASION USA from WND News Alert

## GUTSY FEDERAL JUDGE WHACKS OBAMA

Threatens to overturn 'amnesty' policy for young illegal aliens

A federal judge has a message for President Obama: Stop bypassing Congress on immigration.

Obama issued a directive in June 2012 halting the deportation of many young illegal aliens after Congress refused to pass the DREAM Act, which would have provided conditional permanent residency to young illegals.

A federal judge in Dallas is now threatening to reverse that directive.

U.S. District Judge Reed O’Connor said Tuesday that he will likely rule in favor of a lawsuit seeking to overturn the new policy. He has asked both sides to file additional arguments by May 6.

The administration’s policy directs U.S. Immigration and Customs, or ICE, agents to defer deportation for illegal aliens under 30 who entered the country before the age of 16, are in school or have a high school diploma,

haven't been convicted of a felony, significant misdemeanor or multiple misdemeanors and are not a threat to public safety or national security.

The ICE agent union challenged the policy, arguing that the Obama administration is disciplining agents who enforce federal immigration law.

The president of that union, the National Immigration and Customs Enforcement Council, also said the policy is being abused.

In his April 8 testimony, Christopher L. Crane said, "Officers are applying the directive to people detained in jails, not kids in school."

He told the court, "It is now the story in the jails for aliens to use to avoid arrest and deportation."

Those aliens appear to be taking advantage of the policy with great success. [National Review](#) found, "Since the policy took effect in August 2012, the Obama administration has approved 268,361 applications for 'deferred action' status, and denied just 1,377 – an approval rate of 99.5 percent."

While critics argue the policy is ineffective in securing the border, Judge O'Connor indicated it's also illegal for the Obama administration to tell immigration agents not to arrest an illegal alien who is a low priority.

"The court finds that DHS (Department of Homeland Security) does not have discretion to refuse to initiate removal proceedings," [Judge O'Connor wrote](#).

O'Connor issued a court order stating that Congress, not the president, sets priorities for arresting illegal aliens and that the law requires them to face deportation.

A recent analysis by Politico based on U.S. Census and Pew Research Center estimates of illegal alien populations by state brings into question the Democrats' motivation for what they call comprehensive immigration reform – also dubbed "amnesty" by critics.

After crunching the numbers, Politico said the immigration proposal now in Congress would give Democrats a decisive advantage at the polls for a generation or more.

It would happen by "pumping as many as 11 million new Hispanic voters into the electorate a decade from now in ways that, if current trends hold, would produce an electoral bonanza for Democrats and cripple Republican prospects in many states they now win easily."

William Gheen, president of Americans for Legal Immigration PAC, or ALIPAC, told WND the legislation would "completely wipe out the center-right group of people that have governed America for over 200 years. And it would replace true conservatives with illegal immigrants willing to vote for anyone willing to give them more power and control."

Gheen believes the DHS policy is in response to a drop in illegal immigration after the economy began plummeting into recession in 2008 and states began cracking down on illegal immigration.

"If the ICE agents can win their case and we can stop amnesty, the American public may get one more shot at this in the 2014 election, in which case we could restore the reversal of illegal immigration," he said.

Gheen said ALIPAC may file a friend-of-the-court brief to help the ICE agents' union.

"To us its very clear that the U.S. Constitution says immigration is the purview of the U.S. Congress. And the fact that Obama's memo, or decree, so perfectly emulates failed legislation reinforces our belief this should have been done legislatively, if it were done at all."

Gheen added, "[W]e have contended for years, and accurately so, that those very powerful groups behind the deadly and costly illegal immigrant invasion of the United States are not concerned about democracy; they're all about authoritarian governance. It's just part of what it's going to be like if they ever get their group of 20 million illegal immigrants on board as a new voting bloc."

He said those "powerful groups" include Fox News.

"I know that Fox News is pushing amnesty. They've had very few anti-amnesty people on at all, except for Ann Coulter and Michelle Malkin. All of their main hosts, Bill O'Reilly and Sean Hannity, have come out in support of amnesty," he added.

Gheen also cited what he called bogus immigration polls by Fox News.

"This time, the amnesty battle is different because two high-profile groups have run designer polls and paid for the results, with Fox News and the Associated Press trying to convince Americans that if they're against amnesty they are in the minority. It is a very powerful and Orwellian thing that we have to deal with," Gheen contended.

He added, "We have a whole list of polls showing our position of enforcement rather than amnesty are supported by a supermajority of Americans, and we've been collecting those polls for eight years at [Alipac.us](#)."

The [ALIPAC](#) website currently features a Reuters poll released Tuesday showing "more than half of U.S.

citizens believe that most or all of the country's 11 million illegal immigrants should be deported." Read more at <http://www.wnd.com/2013/04/gutsy-federal-judge-whacks-obama/#IEEiOQb2WiAKOfOM.99>

## From Campaign for Liberty: Reclaim the Republic -- Restore the Constitution

This is getting to be like a bad movie.

You know the ones - where the villain, dead and buried more times than you can count, somehow mysteriously reappears in a place you don't expect him?

Well, here comes. . . a new fight over a biometric National ID card - and if you don't have the card, you can't work.

Right now, freedom-stealing statist Senator John McCain (R-AZ) and Senator Chuck Schumer (D-NY), banding together with members of both parties, are scheming to sneak a massive power grab into a new "immigration reform" bill.

This bill is a statist's dream - a biometric ID card for every American.

In fact, when asked recently if he favored "a super Social Security card that would have some sort of biometric thing like a fingerprint," John McCain said, "I'm for it"!

That's why it's vital you sign your "No National ID" petition to C4L to make your voice heard on this issue IMMEDIATELY.

I'll give you the link in a moment, but first let me explain exactly what's at stake.

If passed, this dangerous scheme would require a new National ID card that would:

\*\*\* Include biometric identification information, such as fingerprints, retinal scans, or scans of veins on the back of hands, which could easily be used as a tracking device;

\*\*\* Be required for all U.S. workers regardless of place of birth, making it illegal for anyone to hold a job in the United States who doesn't obtain the ID card;

\*\*\* Require all employers to purchase an "ID scanner" to verify the ID cards with the federal government.

Every time any citizen applies for a job, the government would know - and you can bet it's only a matter of time until "ID scans" will be required to make even routine purchases, as well.

Of course, the most dangerous part of the bill is the biometric tracking technology, which would allow federal bureaucrats to track our every move. Allowing our government to have this much "prying power" in our lives will ultimately result in the TOTAL loss of freedom. This is exactly the type of battle that often decides whether a country remains free or continues down a slide toward tyranny. Government goon squads with all our personal information - information they do not need and constitutionally should not have - is a recipe for disaster for our nation. You see, once "well-meaning" government bureaucrats know exactly how we live our lives, it won't be long until they try to run them. In fact, it will only be a matter of time until they spend their workdays making sure you and I don't go anywhere, read anything, eat anything, or drink anything they think we "shouldn't be allowed to." Once that door opens, how long before the statist start telling us what we can teach our children? Or where we can go to church? Or what God we are "allowed" to worship? It's clear this battle isn't really about immigration. Whatever you think of that issue, it's simply being used as cover. This fight is about being able to watch and control your every move.

If there is good news to report, it's that, thanks to the help of activists like you, liberty-minded Americans have been able to render the Big Government politicians' National ID nearly toothless in numerous states across the country. And C4L has been vital in helping to hold off their attempt to implement their cherished National ID scheme for the last few years. But the statist are growing nervous. They know the American people are FED UP with TRILLION-dollar deficits, massive new tax hikes, all-out assaults on our God-given right to keep and bear arms, and their other attempts to control every aspect of our lives. They see your outrage is producing results, and many of their outrageous schemes are failing.

The American people are rising up in state after state to say "Enough," and brushfires of liberty are sweeping the nation. So the statist are trying a bipartisan, "backdoor" scheme to impose more control over the American people. They're hoping that after months of Big Media mouthpieces decrying the

"poisonous and partisan politics" in Washington, the American people will jump for joy at the sight of a Democrat from liberal New York and a Republican from conservative Arizona "working together to solve our immigration mess." Well, you and I know better. After all, activists can hardly find two Senators with bigger vendettas against the liberty movement than Senator Chuck Schumer and Senator John McCain.

Senator McCain, along with his buddy Lindsey Graham, has very publicly denounced the liberty movement. As I'm sure you remember, instead of standing with Senator Rand Paul during his historic filibuster, John McCain and Lindsey Graham were having dinner with President Obama. And the next day, they lashed out against Rand, calling his filibuster "ridiculous." They've clearly shown they believe we're not welcome in THEIR party.

The only way to DEFEAT a new National ID card is to contact Americans from coast-to-coast and explain EXACTLY what's at stake. They're not going to get the real story from the mainstream media. And they're surely not going to get the real story from the Washington establishment. It's up to you and me to get our message out to as many folks as possible before it's too late. With your help, C4L can send powerful emails and run Facebook and Internet banner ads to alert liberty-loving Americans to the National ID scheme included in the new "Comprehensive Immigration Reform Bill." But I'm afraid that simply won't be enough. Campaign for Liberty staff tells me if they pull out all the stops, there's an additional twelve million folks they can reach through targeted direct mail and phone programs. And finally, if they can raise the resources, C4L would also like to run hard-hitting newspaper, radio, and TV ads explaining exactly what Chuck Schumer, John McCain, and the rest of the statist are up to. Of course, such a massive grassroots mobilization program isn't cheap - but it's the only way to stop this dangerous National ID scheme from becoming the law of the land. R, with all the battles we're currently facing - from gun control to massive spending to National Internet Tax Mandates - Campaign for Liberty simply doesn't have the resources to do everything it takes to win this fight.

---

"Let the American youth never forget, that they possess a noble inheritance, bought by the toils, and sufferings, and blood of their ancestors; and capacity, if wisely improved, and faithfully guarded, of transmitting to their latest posterity all the substantial blessings of life, the peaceful enjoyment of liberty, property, religion, and independence." *--Joseph Story, Commentaries on the Constitution, 1833*

---

### **Social Security Card History Lesson** by Dick Kantenberger: One Gifted Education Writer [Examiner.com](http://Examiner.com)

Just in case some of you young whippersnappers (and some older ones) didn't know this. It's easy to check out, if you don't believe. Be sure and show your family and friends. They need a history lesson on what's what and it doesn't matter if you're Democrat or Republican. Facts are Facts!

Social Security Cards until the 1980s expressly stated the number and Card were NOT to be used for identification purposes. Because nearly everyone in the United States now has a number, it became convenient to use it anyway and NOT FOR IDENTIFICATION was removed.

An old Social Security card with "NOT FOR IDENTIFICATION"

Our Social Security

- Franklin Roosevelt, a Democrat, introduced the Social Security (FICA) Program. He promised: **1) That participation in the Program would be completely voluntary, No longer Voluntary!**
- 2) That the participants would only have to pay 1% of the first \$1,400 of their annual incomes into the Program, Now 7.65% on first \$90,000.**
- 3) That the money the participants elected to put in the Program would be DEDUCTIBLE from their income for tax purposes each year, No longer tax**
- 4) That the money the participants put in went to the Independent 'Trust Fund' rather than in General Operating Fund, and therefore, would only be used to fund Social Security Retirement Program, and no other Government program, however, Under Johnson the money was moved to The General Fund ... and consequently spent!**
- 5) That the annuity payments to all the retirees would never be taxed as income. Under Clinton & Gore up to 85% of your Social Security can be taxed. Since many of us have paid into FICA for years and are now receiving a Social Security check every month -- and then discovering that we're getting taxed on 85% of the money we paid the Federal government to 'put away --- you might be interested in the following:**

**Q:** Which Political Party removed Social Security from the Independent 'Trust Fund' and put it into the General Fund so that Congress could spend it?

**A:** It was Lyndon Johnson with a Democratically controlled House and Senate.

**Q:** Which Political Party eliminated the income tax deduction for Social Security (FICA) withholding?

**A:** The Democratic Party.

**Q:** Which Political Party started taxing Social Security annuities?

**A:** The Democratic Party (with Al Gore casting the 'tie-breaking' deciding vote) as President of Senate, while he was Vice President of the U.S.

**Q:** Which Political Party decided to start giving annuity payments to immigrants?

**(AND MY VERY FAVORITE):**

**A:** That's right! The Democratic Party and Jimmy Carter. Immigrants moved into this country, and at age 65, they received Social Security payments! The Democratic Party gave these payments to them, even though they never paid a dime into it!

Then, after violating the original contract (FICA), Democrats turn around and claim the Republicans want to take all your Social Security benefits away! And the worst part about it is uninformed citizens believe it! If enough people receive this, maybe the seed of awareness will be planted so changes evolve.

***So, how many people can YOU send this to? Actions speak louder than bumper stickers. I wasn't aware of all of this – Now I and all of YOU ARE AWARE of how the DEMOCRATS have stolen our Social Security from us – ONE step at a time down thru the years!!! What will they steal next? Are WE going to allow that? Let's STOP this stuff in 2014 – replace them!!***

---

I received this on email from a friend and it goes with the above article, so here it is:

This is worth reading and thinking about. Pay attention to your next Social Security income, whether you get a check or an electronic deposit....note what it is now called...see below.. Have you noticed, your Social Security check is now referred to as a "Federal Benefit Payment"? I'll be part of the one percent to forward this. I am forwarding it because it touches a nerve in me, and I hope it will in you. Please keep passing it on until everyone in our country has read it. The government is now referring to our Social Security checks as a "Federal Benefit Payment." This isn't a benefit – its earned income! Not only did we all contribute to Social Security but our employers did too. It totaled 15% of our income before taxes. If you averaged \$30K per year over your working life, that's close to \$180,000 invested in Social Security. If you calculate the future value of your monthly investment in social security (\$375/month, including both you and your employer's contributions) at a meager 1% interest rate compounded monthly, after 40 years of working you'd have more than \$1.3+ million dollars saved! This is your personal investment. Upon retirement, if you took out only 3% per year, you'd receive \$39,318 per year, or \$3,277 per month. That's almost three times more than today's average Social Security benefit of \$1,230 per month, according to the Social Security Administration (Google it - it's a fact).

And your retirement fund would last more than 33 years (until you're 98 if you retire at age 65)! I can only imagine how much better most average-income people could live in retirement if our government had just invested our money in low-risk interest-earning accounts. Instead, the folks in Washington pulled off a bigger Ponzi scheme than Bernie Madoff ever did. They took our money and used it elsewhere. They "forgot" that it was OUR money they were taking. They didn't have a referendum to ask us if we wanted to lend the money to them. And they didn't pay interest on the debt they assumed. And recently, they've told us that the money won't support us for very much longer. But is it our fault they misused our investments? And now, to add insult to injury, they're calling it a "benefit," as if we never worked to earn every penny of it. Just because they "borrowed" the money, doesn't mean that our investments were a charity!

Let's take a stand. We have earned our right to Social Security and Medicare. Demand that our legislators bring some sense into our government – Find a way to keep Social Security and Medicare going, for the sake of that 92% of our population who need i

---

***One of Maxine's very best!!!***

***Minorities – We need to show more sympathy for these people. They travel miles in the heat. They risk their lives crossing a border. They don't get paid enough wages. They do jobs that others won't do or are afraid to do. They live in crowded conditions among a people who speak a different language. They rarely see their families, and they face adversity all day—every day.***

***I'm not talking about illegal Mexicans!!***

***PEOPLE.....I'm talking about our troops! Doesn't it seem strange that so many are willing to lavish all kinds of social benefits on illegals, but don't/won't support our troops? Wouldn't it be great if we took the \$360,000,000,000***

*(that's billions) that we spend on illegals every year, and spend it on our troops!!!*

*Please pass this on – tell everyone you know – our TROOPS are worth the short time it takes!!! Remember Our Soldiers Bless Them All! A veteran is someone who, at one point in their life, wrote a blank check made payable to **The United States of America**, for any amount, up to and including their life. **They gave me the right to send this to anyone that I please!!! That's YOU – Pass it on – On to Everyone – All Americans!!***

***GOD BLESS AMERICA!!***

---

## **Vote No June 11<sup>th</sup>, 378 School Bond Issue – Vote No For Needs Over Wants**

On June 11<sup>th</sup> the registered voters of USD 378 school district will vote on a proposed \$12.5 M+ interest bond issue, 19.9 million total over 25 years, 11% mill levy per \$100,000 school improvement bond issue.

This bond comes after a \$10,000 phone study of only 200 registered voters in the USD 378 district. At the conclusion of the study, the assessment was 60% surveyed strongly disagreed or disagreed to the statement of moving the Middle school to the High School Campus.

The study also showed 53.3% surveyed that the Grade School was over crowded. The Bond Issue proposes to spend 90% (\$11 million +) on the High School, new Middle School (7<sup>th</sup> and 8<sup>th</sup> grades). This bond proposal does very little to solve existing problems at the Grade School. 10% or 1.22 million is to be spent where the real problems exist.

The present School Board then does a knee jerk reaction of “what if” scare tactics --- “do it now or risk losing State funds” or loss of low interest rate. Then, following the survey, a decision by 40 concerned parents, patrons, and school staff along with the current school board inked the proposed bond issue. There is ABSOLUTELY NO assurance that the State funding will be there from one year to another. NONE, it is a legislative and State budget issue.

Then they propose a bond that does not really solve existing long-term problems at the Riley Grade School. Their plans would spend 90% to expand the High School WANTS and build a new Middle School on the High School Campus. When you do the math, \$11 million for the Riley High School and the proposed new Middle School then leaves 10% or \$1.23 million for the Grade School improvements. The Grade School is where the present and future real problems exist as sighted by the \$10 thousand survey.

First, we, who are against this current bond issue, are truly in favor of improving USD 378 schools. However, the current bond issue does not reflect the NEEDS for a quality education, instead it shows the WANTS for a sports complex.

Second, we want the safest environment for our students and teachers.

Third, we believe the rush to vote, in this “special election” on the existing plans will fall far short of addressing a host of existing problems, that will resurface in a few years down the road. In addition to improper planning, this “special election” will cost the USD 378 an additional \$6-10 thousand dollars as opposed to a zero cost that would have been incurred on the regular spring ballot of 2015.

A NO vote on June 11<sup>th</sup> will be and should be the only choice. A NO vote will give USD 378 patrons, property owners, tax payers, and hopefully, the School Board to take a honest look at the schools districts needs, and find a far better solution, than the current proposed bond issue.

Sincerely,  
USD 378 Patron

---

Here is the most brilliant statement ever made without a word being said!!!!

This American born, **Veteran of the United States Army**, law abiding, taxpaying citizen was told by his Homeowners Association that he - **Could Not fly the American Flag in his yard...**

This is his response:



Where do they make siding like this? I want some! If they don't they should!!

---

## **A Nation of Sheep - Breeds a Government of Wolves!!!**

---

*The happiest of people don't necessarily have the best of everything.  
They just make the best of everything they have!!*

---

The Kansas Legislature is coming back in Veto Session later this week. We'll be discussing that along with other happenings in and around the State House, Interesting things are happening – stay tuned. We'll make every effort to keep you informed. If there is a particular subject you are interested in contact us as instructed below – we want to help YOU to better understand your City, County, State and Federal Government and even your School District.

---

If you would like to forward this Newsletter as is on to others – be my guest.  
If you would like to send comments to the editor – be my guest.  
If you have an editorial to submit – be my guest.

---

Flint Hills TEA Party contact information: [www.flinthillsteaparty.com](http://www.flinthillsteaparty.com); email: [fhttp@flinthillsteaparty.com](mailto:fhttp@flinthillsteaparty.com) or facebook – Flint Hills TEA Party; Manhattan contact – Chuck Henderson, 785-236-1286; Sylva Nichols, editor, email: [sylva@gemsandwood.com](mailto:sylva@gemsandwood.com). Sylva sends the snail mail. Newsletter; Flint Hills TEA Party Snail Mail: Flint Hills TEA Party of KS, 1228 Westloop Place, PMB #326, Manhattan, KS 66502-2840. All donations for the Educational Fund (payable to “Educational Fund”) will also be accepted at this address and is tax deductible.

---

Reprinting of this Newsletter may be done in whole, however, copying any part requires permission given by the persons listed above.